

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/692,820	10/27/2003	Shinichi Kojima		9668	
24956 7.	590 05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Y, STANGER, MALUR	SONG, HOON K			
1800 DIAGON SUITE 370	IAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		2882		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				—— <u>H.J</u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/692,820	KOJIMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Hoon Song	2882				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3191.05					
		This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 29,30 and 32-50 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 29,30 and 32-50 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	•			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>10/098,593</u> . n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Anotic 2) Notic 3) Anform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Star No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/2005.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2882

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 35-42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 35-42 are directly or indirectly dependents on a canceled claim 28.

In claim 32 at line 9, "text subject" should read --test subject--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-30 and 32-50 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-33 of copending Application No. 10/253492. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are anticipated by the claims of the patent as follows:

Regarding claims 29 and 35, the application claims a radiological imaging apparatus comprises:

Art Unit: 2882

a bed for carrying a test subject; and

an image pickup apparatus.

wherein said image pickup apparatus comprise;

a radiation detector ring structure that detects radiation from said test subject and includes a plurality of radiation detectors arranged around said bed in a ring form;

an X-ray source that irradiates said test subject with X-rays;

a first X-ray source transfer apparatus that transfers said X-ray source in the circumferential direction of said radiation detector ring structure; and

a second X-ray source transfer apparatus that transfers said X-ray source inside said radiation detector ring structure in an axial direction of said radiation detector ring structure (see claim 26).

Regarding claim 30, the application claims said x-ray source moves in a circumferential direction of said radiation detector ring structure inside said radiation detector ring structure (see claim 26).

Regarding claims 32 and 33, the application claims a radiological apparatus comprises:

A bed for carrying a test subject; and

An image pickup apparatus,

Wherein said image pickup apparatus comprises:

A radiation detector ring structure that detects radiation from said test subject and includes a plurality of radiation detectors arranged around said bed in a ring from;

An x-ray source that irradiates said test subject with x-rays; and

An x-ray source transfer apparatus that transfers said x-ray source outside said radiation detector ring structure in the circumferential direction of said radiation detector ring structure,

Wherein said x-ray source is placed outside said radiation detector ring structure in a direction of a radius of said radiation detector ring structure, and a slit that lets x-rays radiated from said x-ray source pass through toward the inside of said radiation detector ring structure is formed between said radiation detectors of said radiation detector ring structure (see claim 20).

Regarding claims 34 and 38, the application claims a collimator (the ring structure having the slit considered to be a collimator) through which said x-ray pass is placed between said slit and said radiation detectors and said radiation detectors are placed around said collimator (see claim 20).

Regarding claims 37 and 43, the application claims a radiological imaging apparatus comprises:

A bed for carrying a test subject; and

An image pickup apparatus,

Wherein said image pickup apparatus comprises:

A rotatable radiation detector ring structure that detects radiation from said test subject and includes a plurality of radiation detectors arranged around a bed in a ring form;

An x-ray source that rotates together with said radiation detector ring structure and irradiates said test subject with x-rays,

Art Unit: 2882

An x-ray source transfer apparatus that is provided on said radiation detector ring structure and transfers said x-ray source in an axial direction of said radiation detector ring structure (see claim 26).

Regarding claims 44 and 36, the application claims said radiation detector is a semiconductor radiation detector (see claim 31).

Regarding claims 40-41 and 45-47, the application claims said radiation detector outputs a first detector outputs a first detection signal which is a detection signal of said x-rays which is one type of said radiation that have passed through said test subject and a second detection signal which is a detection signal of gamma rays which is another type of said radiation radiated from said test subject (see claim 26).

Regarding claims 39, 42, 48 and 50, the application claims a section image data creation apparatus that creates a first sectional image data of said subject on the basis of said x-ray detection signal and a second sectional image data of said subject on the basis of said gamma ray detection signal and creates a combined sectional image data obtained by combining said first and second sectional image data (see claim 32).

Regarding claim 49, the application claims each of said radiation detectors outputs an x-ray detection signal which is one of said radiation and a gamma ray detection signal which is the other one of said radiation (see claim 26).

Claims 32-33 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-33 of copending Application No. 10/246450. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 2882

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are

anticipated by the claims of the patent as follows:

Regarding claims 32 and 33, the application claims a radiological apparatus

comprises:

A bed for carrying a test subject; and

An image pickup apparatus,

Wherein said image pickup apparatus comprises:

A radiation detector ring structure that detects radiation from said test subject

and includes a plurality of radiation detectors arranged around said bed in a ring from;

An x-ray source that irradiates said test subject with x-rays; and

An x-ray source transfer apparatus that transfers said x-ray source outside said

radiation detector ring structure in the circumferential direction of said radiation detector

ring structure,

Wherein said x-ray source is placed outside said radiation detector ring structure

in a direction of a radius of said radiation detector ring structure, and a slit that lets x-

rays radiated from said x-ray source pass through toward the inside of said radiation

detector ring structure is formed between said radiation detectors of said radiation

detector ring structure (see claims 16 and 19).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the

conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Page 6

Art Unit: 2882

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-30 and 32-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoon Song whose telephone number is (571) 272-2494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5 PM, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272 - 2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2882

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**HKS** 

519105 n19

DAVID V. BRUCE PRIMARY EXAMINER